Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/368,354	BUCKLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph R. Pokrzywa	2622	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Joseph R. Pokrzywa</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>John Fitzpatrick</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 31 March 2004.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Proposed organization			
Claim(s) discussed: 4 /0			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
		<b>1</b>	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Paper No. 22



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to it an
agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Discussed how Bloomling differs from the claimed investion
Particularly, in that Bloomberg party to thack of overmorking
processing in the sense of the well-known telefinition. The
examiner will reconsider the rejection in the previous Office
artura
•